

The BBC
TV Licensing Department
Bristol
BS98 1TL

Mr Justin Wyllie

4/9/11

FORMAL NOTICE TO STOP HARRASMENT

Dear Sir or Madam

I received through my letter box on Thursday a leaflet contraption from yourselves. This contraption contained the familiar threats. Because of the design of this leaflet I had to open it to examine the contents. The effect of this of course is to make me feel a) that my home has been invaded and b) that I have been physically assaulted.

Your previous leaflet left me feeling very frightened and intimidated.

Your leaflets and letters are both intimidating and dishonest. Intimidating because they are worded so as to suggest that prosecution is imminent and dishonest when they say, for example, "action required immediately". Of course no action is required on my part either immediately or at any point in the future. Here are the facts:

1. There is no legal requirement in the UK for someone who does not require a Television license to register with you.
2. There is no legal requirement in the UK for someone who does not require a Television license to register with you every 18 months.
3. There is certainly no requirement for me to allow you to visit my home.
4. Indeed there is no legal requirement for me to answer a single one of your letters or respond to the leaflets and leaflet contraptions which you push through my letter-box.

The law entitles you to collect the license fee from those who should pay it. The campaign to accost and harass every householder where there is no license registered is something which you have made up. You have set yourselves above the law. Your campaign is clearly thought out, planned and deliberate. There is no excuse for it.

I have not answered your letters and threatening leaflets pushed through my door because I know what will happen. You will simply start again in about 18 months. Or, indeed, you may, absurdly, demand to visit my home. You would not be welcome.

I am writing to ask you to forthwith stop your campaign of harassment by letters and visits and any other means against me which has no foundation in law. I have no contract with nor any obligations to the BBC.

I do intend to protect myself from being harassed and intimidated and if you do not respect my wishes I will investigate every possible legal option available to me without further warning. This applies now and at all time in the future.

Yours faithfully

Justin Wyllie

The BBC
TV Licensing Department
Bristol
BS98 1TL

Mr Justin Wyllie

21/9/11

Dear Sir or Madam

Thank you for your letter dated 20/9/11 responding to my request that you stop harassing me in my letter of 4/9/11. I am enclosing a copy of that letter as you clearly have not understood.

You acknowledge in your letter that indeed there is no legal requirement for me to respond to your 'enquiries'. Regrettably you continue to be dishonest; no reasonable person could describe the threatening letters, leaflets and leaflet contraptions about 'investigations being opened' and 'what you need to know when you go to court' as 'enquires'. Leaving that aside you then shamelessly attempt to get me to respond again to your request to help you maintain your records having just conceded that there is no legal requirement for me to do so.

Again; there is no legal requirement for me to respond to your letters, leaflets and leaflet contraptions. Your continued attempt to get me to cooperate with your campaign despite my having indicated that I am not interested amounts to further harassment.

You state in your letter that you have found this the 'best way of checking all addresses'. Yes; clearly running a campaign of demanding that everyone complete a yes/no statement with regards to whether or not they require a television licence is effective for you. However as I pointed out in my last letter which you have clearly not read there is no legal basis for this campaign. You are in fact intimidating and harassing ordinary people who have absolutely no dealings with you or obligations towards you. It is cynical, unpleasant and thoroughly reprehensible.

You have assigned me a complaint number. However; note that I have not made a complaint. I am not a customer of the BBC nor do I have any obligations to the BBC. I have simply asked you to stop harassing me and I now ask you again to stop harassing me.

I have now begun to explore the legal options available to me to prevent this harassment. I note that Section 4 of the 1986 Public Order Act makes it a (criminal) offence to use threatening words which cause another person harassment, alarm or distress. I don't know whether this applies in the case. It would be a matter for the police to decide. The 1997 Harassment Act appears to also offer me potential for redress. This legislation has an exemption which permits behaviour which might be seen as 'harassment' when the behaviour is seeking to apply a law. However as is clear and as you have acknowledged your campaign does not have a legal basis. The terms of this Act then apply. I note that both criminal penalties and civil remedies are available under this Act. The test for harassment is whether a reasonable person would consider it so. Since you have no legal basis whatsoever for continuing to harass me and since you have now been clearly asked to desist twice I think that that would pass the test. Your letters, leaflets and leaflet contraptions both posted and pushed through my letter-box often printed in red and deliberately discourteously worded threatening for example 'we called and we will call again' have made me on more than one occasion feel quite considerably panicky, fearful and intimidated. It is obvious that that is the intention. The 1988 Malicious Communications Act also seems to apply. Your letters, leaflets and leaflet contraptions contain threats. They also contain information which you know to be false (Section 1 a) iii) of the Act applies). For example they state "Action required immediately" when as you have acknowledged no action is in fact required on my part. That is you are engaged in a deception attempting to hoodwink people into thinking that you are some sort of authority to which one is obliged to respond when this is not in fact the case. As with the 1997 Harassment Act there is an exception which permits the behaviour which would otherwise fall within the terms of the Act if you have reasonable grounds on which to make the demand. However there are no reasonable grounds for the demand which you are making. It may be convenient for you if everyone were to respond to your request to make a yes/no statement with regards to whether or not they require a television license but there is no legal basis for this request and certainly not for your system of escalation of threats and harassment when people do not respond. If parliament intended that the equivalent of SORN statements for motor vehicles should be made in respect of TV licences parliament would no doubt legislate. Parliament has not done so. It would appear at a superficial reading then that there are considerable legal grounds on which I can protect myself from your harassment. Please be advised as per my previous letter that I will take this matter further and seek to protect myself from your harassment should it continue.

Once again I must formally ask you to stop harassing me with leaflets, leaflet contraptions and visits. I have explained that I am not going to respond and explained why. I am not legally required to respond. You must now stop harassing me.

Yours faithfully

Justin Wyllie

The BBC
TV Licensing Department
Bristol
BS98 1TL

Mr Justin Wyllie

24/9/11

Dear Sir or Madam

I wrote to you on 4/9/2011 to ask you to stop harassing me with incorrect leaflets, letters, leaflet contraptions and 'visits'. I explained that I am not obliged to answer your correspondence, a point which you have agreed in your letter dated 20/9/2011, and I explained that I would not be doing so and the reason why. I explained that I had been frightened and made to feel panicky by your letters, leaflets and leaflet contraptions and asked you to stop sending them. Clearly that should be the end of the matter. My letter which was headed 'Formal notice to stop harassment' could not have been clearer. I am enclosing a copy.

However I have today received a further dishonest and threatening letter from you (designed as if it were some kind of official document). You continue to practice a deception. You state: "You have not responded to our previous letters. We want to ensure that you have the information you may need before a hearing is set at your local court. " That is you act as if by not replying to your letters I am guilty and will shortly be appearing in court. Yet this is simply not true; as you admit I am not obliged to respond to your letters. As I have pointed out to you in written correspondence there is no legal requirement for me to register with you a yes/no answer as to whether I require a Television license. Yet you act as if by not replying I am guilty and you arrogate to yourselves the right to abuse and threaten me. It is also dishonest to say that if I were to contact you with a statement you "may confirm this with a visit". You are as I mentioned in my letter dated 21/9/2011 pretending that you have authority which you do not. No one is obliged to accept a 'visit' from you.

I do find it deeply disturbing that senior executives at the BBC and its contractors are prepared to endorse a campaign which a) involves deception and b) is based on intimidating and threatening people, in the full knowledge that many people who have no dealings with you nor obligations towards you including clearly elderly people or other vulnerable people will be unnecessarily intimidated and made to feel fearful in their own homes. It is extraordinary.

I am troubled that my clear and polite request for you to stop harassing me simply elicited a formulaic response and the harassment has continued. You stated in your formula letter to me dated 20/9/11 that you would stop the 'letters' and 'enquiries', which appears to be how you describe the intimidating barrage of doorstep visits, leaflets and leaflet

contraptions full of threats and incorrect and frankly abusive statements about my imminent appearance in court etc, if I write to you to 'confirm the position'. However, as I explained - and as I say you clearly have not read my letter of 4/9/11 - I will not be doing this. I also explained why. There is no legal requirement for me to register with you. You therefore have no legal basis on which to state that you will continue to harass me (though you are of course careful to describe your campaign of harassment as 'letters' and 'enquiries') if I do not respond. You must stop harassing me and accept that I am not going to participate in your scheme to require every household in the UK to register with you either way, if they require a TV licence or not. When and if Parliament brings in legislation to implement such a scheme I will of course comply. However there is no such requirement at the present time and you have no legal basis on which to demand that I comply. Your campaign of harassment has no legal basis and must stop now without my registering with you.

Given that you have continued to harass me I have today reported you to the police for harassment and submitted a full file of evidence. Any further incidents will also be reported directly to the police.

I am simply not prepared to suffer a barrage of letters, leaflets, leaflet contraptions and 'visits' which make me feel substantially panicky and fearful in my own home. I have no dealings with the BBC nor obligations towards you.

I am also continuing to investigate what options are available to me through the civil courts to protect myself from your harassment.

I am attaching copies of my two previous letters.

Yours faithfully

Justin Wyllie

Chief Constable Sara Thornton
Thames Valley Police
Thames Valley Police Headquarters
Oxford Road
Kidlington
Oxfordshire
OX5 2NX

Mr Justin Wyllie

24/9/11

Dear Chief Constable

I am writing to report a crime.

The matter relates to a campaign of harassment being carried on against me by the BBC. From a superficial reading of the law I believe that the BBC is committing offences under the 1997 Harassment Act, the 1988 Malicious Communications Act and possibly the 1986 Public Order Act.

I am presenting in the following paragraph a summary of my crime report and then more details in subsequent paragraphs. I am attaching with this letter a body of evidence. This material consists of some sample materials only; I have a full file of evidence available but wish to keep this initial report as brief and to the point as possible. I will readily make the full file available to you on request. I could for example bring it into a police station.

The crime report concerns behaviour by the BBC which is submitting me to a barrage of visits and postal materials to demand that I either buy a TV license or inform them that I do not require one - and in the latter case submit to an inspection of my home. The materials and visits are frightening and intimidating. They are clearly designed to be so. On several occasions I have been left feeling frightened and intimidated as a result. There is however no legal basis for this campaign of harassment whatsoever. I do not watch TV while it is being broadcast and so do not require a TV license and there is no legal requirement at all for me to respond to any enquiry from the BBC on this matter. The fact that I have not done so does not as the BBC seems to think justify and permit a campaign of abuse and intimidation against me. This is a serious matter. I believe I am entitled to the protection of the law from this behaviour.

Since I moved into my current home in August 2010 I have received a steady stream of letters, leaflets, leaflet contraptions (curious kinds of folding leaflets, partially sealed etc) and door-step visits from the BBC. On the 3 occasions when they have visited I have been out and they have thrust frightening leaflets or leaflet contraptions through my door threatening further 'visits' e.g. "We said we'd call... This is why we'll call again" and "Get a license before you get another knock on the door" - in

red. The material is mocked up as if there is some official legal basis for it. But there is no legal basis for it at all; the BBC is running an operation to harass every householder into giving a yes/no answer as to whether they require a TV license. However, there is no legislation that has established any legitimate basis for this. The tone of much of this material is extremely intimidating. Since there is no legal basis for the 'visits' they are particularly alarming; it is pure intimidation outside of any legal framework. I have on three separate occasions, twice as a result of these visits and once as a result of a posted leaflet, been left feeling panicky, frightened and intimidated. This is clearly the intention. These feelings have not been transient but have lasted for several hours or even days. I am attaching 7 example leaflet contraptions/leaflets/letters which show the intimidating nature of the material which has been pushed through my letter-box or sent to me. The common features are that they appear to assume that I am guilty based on the fact that I have not registered with them that I do not require a TV licence, that they appear to think that that justifies making threatening remarks often in red ink and abusing me, that they frequently appear to claim that I am just about to be taken to court by their 'enforcement division' (a complete fiction designed purely to instil fear), that they will continue to harass me with visits and leaflets until I submit and respond to them, and the leaflets and leaflet contraptions are often designed in such a way as to increase feelings of anxiety and worry, for example being sealed until you open them and deliberately using discourteous language. I have recently asked the BBC in writing to stop harassing me. I am attaching a copy of this letter. However they have continued and today I received yet another leaflet about my imminent appearance in court, a leaflet designed and worded clearly to make me feel as anxious as possible. This is my reason for reporting the matter to you; that even after I have asked the BBC to stop they have continued to attempt to frighten me in my own home. I certainly feel intimidated and frightened. The material contains both explicit and implicit claims that I am duty-bound to respond. The more threatening letters explain that they are pursuing me because I have not responded to their previous letters. However it is a fact that I am not legally obliged to respond to a single one of these letters. There is no legal requirement to assist the BBC in maintaining a yes/no record of whether every address in the country has a TV license. Because the BBC dishonestly claim that this is the case for example by saying "Action required immediately" it seems to me that Section 1 a) iii) of the 1988 Malicious Communications Act applies relating to communications made which they know to be false. Overall the whole campaign by the BBC depends on deceiving the public into believing that one is obliged to respond to these letters, leaflets, leaflet contraptions and visits. The escalation of threats and intimidation is justified on the basis that I have not replied to their letters - but, again, there is in fact no legal requirement for me to do so. It is my understanding that there are criminal offences involved in gratuitously intimidating people and leaving them feeling frightened and panicky. The law I believe does allow for this kind of threatening communications to be sent a) when the claims are true and b) if there is a reasonable demand being made. I imagine the latter would be for example if I owed a bank money and they were trying to re-claim it. However; I have no relationship to the BBC nor any obligations to the BBC whatsoever. And they have no legal basis

whatsoever for their campaign of harassment. The law simply allows them to collect the license fee from those who should pay it - not harass every household in the United Kingdom into completing their yes/no records in their database which is what is happening. I am therefore reporting the BBC to you for their criminal behaviour of harassment and intimidation which has no legislative basis and asking you to investigate and prosecute them. I believe I am entitled to be protected by the law from being made to feel intimidated and frightened without any good reason. (Again since there is no legal requirement to reply to their letters the reason the BBC gives that their threats are justified because I have not replied is false. They are certainly entitled to write and ask me politely if I require a TV license. There is no excuse for threatening and intimidating me).

I would like to clarify at this point that I am not simply being awkward. In the past I have responded to these demands in writing explaining that I don't watch TV. (I don't). However what then happens is that after about 18 months the whole cycle starts again. I object to being forced to register every 18 months with the BBC that I do not require a license when in fact Parliament has not legislated for this. I would also not accept a visit to my home from an organisation which has vilified and abused me. Finally were I to register with the BBC that I do not require a license I would be colluding with them in their campaign which is based on a pretence (that 'TV licensing' is some sort of official body with which one must register), which has no legal basis and which inevitably causes many people to feel fear and anxiety. It is simply wrong. It is also undemocratic since it is just a law the BBC is attempting to make up.

I am attaching 7 sample materials. As I say I will happily bring in the whole file though these give a good feeling for the process.

Sample 1

Leaflet received in the post on 24/9/2011 (curiously dated May 2011). This was sent after I specifically asked the BBC to stop harassing me and explained that I would not be responding to their 'enquiries' and noting that I am not legally obliged to do so. The letter appears to assume that I will imminently be appearing in court. This is clearly a malicious communication since I will not be. (Again since there is no law that I should register with the BBC as a non TV watcher it is not acceptable for them to use the fact that I have not so registered as evidence that I am breaking the law).

This leaflet left me feeling somewhat scared and worried especially so as I have asked them to stop. Going to court is I imagine a frightening experience at the best of times; to be threatened with it when you are innocent is even more so.

Sample 2

Leaflet contraption pushed through my letter-box while I was out on 1/9/2011.

Contains the usual pure deception that there is some official basis for this. States 'please open straight away' and claims to have been posted through my letter-box by an 'officer' when in fact legally it has no more standing than junk mail. Uses a lot of red ink. Contains the usual deception that implies one is obliged to register with them and accept a search of your home if you don't watch TV, which isn't true. Threatens a further visit.

This contraption, designed as it is to be opened by unsealing a flap once it has been pushed through your letter-box was clearly designed to make me feel fear. It did. I felt considerable fear and panic after receiving this. In fact I had the sensation of having been physically assaulted and it felt like my home had been invaded.

Sample 3

Leaflet contraption pushed through my letter-box on 3/8/2011.

"We said we'd call.. this is why we'll call again". A threat regarding more visits. I am beginning to feel worn down. The implication is clearly that I am breaking the law. A very small section of the leaflet asks me to contact them if I do not require a license. Again; this is a deception, I am not obliged to make a SORN-type declaration to the BBC that I do not require a license. Yet the whole drift of the leaflet is based on the notion that my address is 'unlicensed' and I have not made such a declaration therefore I am in the wrong. This is threatening and intimidating.

I felt panicky and threatened for up to 3 days after receiving this.

Sample 4

A letter sent in the post in July. A very typical example of the sort of deliberately frightening nonsense they produce. They claim to 'have opened an investigation' - clearly this is a piece of fiction; it is just a step in their database process. They are not in fact a legal body empowered to run 'investigations' into everyone in the country. They have no grounds whatsoever for believing I am breaking the law with regards to television licensing (as I'm not and there can therefore be no evidence that I am). They have simply picked on me because I have not registered with them as a non-user of TV and allowed them to search my home; requests which have no legal basis. The whole wording of the leaflet about 'official investigations' is both false and intimidating. They say "we have asked you to contact us several times and you have not responded", which is indeed true but is (since I am not legally required to respond) not any reason to 'investigate' me. If I wrote a letter like this to my neighbour I am quite sure I would be arrested for harassment or sending malicious communications; the BBC has no more authority to do this than I do. The

statement that their 'Enforcement Division' (more fiction) will schedule a visit if they don't hear from me is again an attempt to frighten me and also not reasonable - since they are trying to threaten me by a 'visit' i.e. someone on my door-step into doing something which I am not required to do by law. This is clear intimidation.

Gritted my teeth and bore it.

Sample 5

An "Action required immediately" envelope. Of course no action is required immediately or at all on my part. The BBC has admitted to me in correspondence that indeed I am not legally required to respond to their 'enquiries' (which is a euphemism for their threats). However; what does 'Action required immediately' mean if not that action is required immediately?

Clearly the BBC is back-peddalling when they now admit that I am not required to respond. This is not the message sent in their leaflets.

Sample 6

A letter sent in June. "As you have not responded to our letters yet, you have left us no alternative but to proceed with the final stages of our investigation". In red. There is a typical piece of disingenuous copy "The information below explains the procedure. You may refer to it during a visit from the Officer". This is again a deception designed to make it appear that there is something official about the process.

The threat is that the 'Officer' "may visit your property any day of the week, morning or evening". Again this is designed to make one feel anxious and invaded. Again it is completely outrageous since the (stated) excuse for it is that I have not responded to their letters, *but there is no legal requirement for me to do that.*

Sample 7

A letter sent in October 2010.

A lot of red ink and an 'Official warning'. Again this is no more 'official' than if I were to start sending 'Official warnings' to my neighbour. It is an attempt to frighten me. The usual false excuse about justifying the threats because I have not replied to their previous letters. Again; not having a TV license and not registering with the BBC that I don't watch TV is not an offence and is not therefore reasonable grounds on which to send me intimidating and frightening letters.

I am also attaching a copy of my recent correspondence with the BBC

namely my letter of 4/9/2011 when I formally ask them to stop harassing me, a copy of their formulaic response, a copy of my response to that dated 21/9/2011 and a copy of my letter to them of 24/9/2011 sent when I discovered that despite asking them to stop harassing me they plan to continue. Please take careful note that in their response to my original letter and request that they stop harassing me they say that "There is no legal requirement for you to respond to our enquiries, but if you tell us you don't need a licence, we'll update our records and stop our letters from being sent". This is dishonest and a misrepresentation of the facts; a) according to their literature they may in fact demand to 'visit' my home if I tell them I don't need a licence, b) it is not a question of 'enquiries' and 'letters' but a campaign of intimidating leaflets, leaflet contraptions and visits which is at issue, c) they make no mention here of the fact that they only stop for a limited period, 18 months in my experience. However, the main point is, again, there is no legal requirement for me to write to them at all to register with them that I don't need a TV license so they are in effect continuing the intimidation by demanding that they will stop only if I do something which I am not required by law to do. In my view that is an offence of harassment.

I look forward to co-operating in any way I can with your investigation.

Yours sincerely

Justin Wyllie

Copies of correspondence with the BBC

1. My letter dated 4/9/11 asking them to stop harassing me.
2. Their response in which they say they only will if I comply with their demand - which has no legal basis.
3. My response to this letter dated 21/9/11
4. My letter dated 24/9/11 when I received another harassing leaflet from them.

**Photocopies of samples of leaflets, letters
and leaflet contraptions posted to me or
pushed through my letter-box**

7 items

Detailed in the accompanying letter.

The BBC
TV Licensing Department
Bristol
BS98 1TL

Mr Justin Wyllie

5/10/11

Dear Sir or Madam

I am in receipt of your letter dated 4/10/11.

As I indicated in my letter dated 24/9/11 I have reported you again to the police for this incident of harassment. You again threaten to continue your campaign of harassment which I have told you is causing me alarm and distress in my own home unless I comply with your demand while you have already admitted that your demand has no legal basis.

I have told you that your harassing letters and leaflet contraptions have left me feeling frightened and intimidated as indeed they are clearly intended to. It is quite shocking that you are saying in a blasé way that you will continue with the campaign of harassment and intimidation until I comply with your demand that I register with you - when you have already admitted that I am not legally required to do that. I appreciate that your whole campaign depends on just this point and that I am receiving a well prepared stock answer - but that is indeed the point; you are harassing and intimidating people and justifying this on the grounds that they have not replied to your 'enquiries', but they are not legally obliged to do this. I have not broken any law and I am not in breach of any contract with you. Therefore you have no reasonable grounds on which to harass and intimidate me.

As I pointed out to you in my letter dated 21/9/11 I have not made a complaint to you. I am not a customer and have no legal obligations towards you. You have no legal authority over me. It is a further example of your dishonest pretence that you do have authority that you are attempting to treat my correspondence as a 'complaint'. Possibly it is an attempt to disguise your harassment? Again; I have not made a 'complaint'. I am asking you to stop your harassment.

Once again; you must stop harassing me with unwelcome letters/leaflets/leaflet contraptions and doorstep harassment in which you refer to my imminent court appearance and make threatening and untrue statements.

Due to your continued harassment I am now taking further my investigations into getting an injunction to stop you since you clearly are ignoring my request. Once again; as you know full well there is no law that everyone must register with the BBC if they do not require a TV licence and your campaign to harass and frighten people who do not have a legal basis. As I stated to you in my letter of 24/9/11 if Parliament legislates for such a scheme I will of course comply at that point.

Once again your behaviour as you know full well is cynical, deceitful and unpleasant. You have simply ignored my entirely truthful statement that I have been made to feel substantially alarmed and distressed in my own home and you have threatened indeed to continue to take actions that make me feel that way, without any legal basis for that. What kind of people behave in this manner may I ask?

Since you are clearly not reading my correspondence but simply supplying your stock answer I am enclosing my recent letters here to give you a further opportunity to consider your position.

Once again and I cannot say this more clearly:

Your letters/leaflets/leaflet contraptions and doorstep visits are making me feel panicky and frightened in my own home. They are clearly designed to make me feel this way. I have no legal obligations towards you and I am not obliged in any way to respond to a single one of your 'enquiries'. I will not therefore be doing so (mainly because to do so would be to condone your campaign of intimidation against ordinary people). Please stop harassing me. Please stop repeating the threat that you will continue to harass me until I comply with your demand that I register with you. That demand is not a legal requirement.

All you in fact need to do is stop harassing me. You don't even need to put in writing that you will stop. You just need to stop.

Yours faithfully

Justin Wyllie

Chief Constable Sara Thornton
Thames Valley Police
Thames Valley Police Headquarters
Oxford Road
Kidlington
Oxfordshire
OX5 2NX

Mr Justin Wyllie

5/10/11

Dear Chief Constable

I wrote to you on 24/9/11 to report the BBC for harassment.

Further to that letter and report I have continued to be harassed by the BBC. In response to my request to them in which I asked them to stop their campaign of harassment they have replied saying that they will only stop when I comply with their demand to register with them that I do not require a TV license. However; as they know, there is no legal requirement for me to do this. So; they are essentially saying that they will continue to harass me until I do what they want though there is no legal requirement for me to do it.

I realise that you have priorities and there are possibly more serious crimes to deal with. Nonetheless I am actually being made quite deliberately to feel intimidated and frightened in my own home with no legal basis (I have done nothing wrong, not broken any contract nor failed to meet any legal obligation) and I believe that there is a body of legislation in place that should prevent this.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely

Justin Wyllie

The BBC
TV Licensing Department
Bristol
BS98 1TL

Mr Justin Wyllie

11/10/11

Dear Sir or Madam

I am in receipt of your letter dated 10/10/2011.

I have added this letter in which you continue to threaten me to the file which I will be discussing with the police.

Once again you threaten to continue to harass me if I do not register with you and reply to your 'enquiries'. Since your 'enquiries' is actually an organised campaign of harassment and since I am (as you have now admitted in your letter dated 20/9/2011 and contrary to what you have indicated previously in your campaign letters) not required to respond to your letters you are in effect saying "comply with our request which is not a legal requirement or we will continue to send you letters which we *know* frighten and worry you".

You have now I note dropped your attempt to tell me that I must register with you and are now simply saying that who cares but we'll continue to harass you if you don't.

That is the case and I continue to find it shocking that the BBC is willing to behave in this manner. You are involved in deliberately scaring elderly people in their own homes because that is, to use your words, 'the best', way to maximise your revenue. What do you think that behaviour looks like to decent people?

Once again you are misrepresenting your campaign of harassment as 'we write to all addresses without a license'. If that was indeed what you did it would be reasonable. Of course that is another piece of dishonesty on your part. In fact you send people frightening and aggressive leaflets and leaflet contraptions and send people to their doorstep to make further threats. You make all kinds of threats about 'Enforcement Officers' (laughable of course but still frightening) and word your leaflets and leaflet contraptions in ways which are specifically designed to raise peoples' anxiety, such as "we said we'd call.... and we'll call again".

Yet again you refer to my being 'unhappy'. I am not in fact unhappy. I am telling you that your letters, leaflets, leaflet contraptions and visits have made me feel substantially frightened and worried in my home own, a point I note that you have not acknowledged. On the contrary you've brazenly told me you will continue to act in the way that makes me experience this worry and anxiety, though I have done nothing wrong.

I note that you are continuing to try to get me to fit into your system by treating my correspondence as a complaint though I have specifically told you I am not making a complaint. Indeed you now appear to be saying that unless I fit into this system you will not consider my correspondence. Of course a well-funded organisation such as the BBC would not be running a campaign of harassment which must be at the very edge of what your lawyers have advised you you may be able to defend in court without having a well-planned system to deal with people who object to your harassment. Once again though I am not interested; there is no legal basis for your campaign of harassment. I have not made a complaint to you. I am not a member of your organisation, have no legal contract with you, and am not in breach of any laws which you are entitled to regulate. And indeed the 2003 Communications Act does not legislate for such a system of universal registration as you run. (If you count my correspondence in your complaint figures that too would be dishonest). I simply want you to stop harassing me. I am not going to respond to your campaign of harassing letters, leaflets, leaflet contraptions and visits because I will not be supporting a campaign based on intimidating people.

Once again; please stop harassing me. Again; you don't have to tell me in writing that you will stop. Just please stop. Thank you.

Yours faithfully

Justin Wyllie